PTEP

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2015**, 00000 (14 pages)
DOI: 10.1093/ptep/0000000000

Analytical and numerical assessment of accuracy of the approximated nuclear symmetry energy in the Hartree-Fock theory

Y. Tsukioka and H. Nakada*

Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, Yayoi-cho 1-33, Inage, Chiba 263-8533, Japan
**E-mail: nakada@faculty.chiba-u.jp*

arXiv:1704.06407v1 [nucl-th] 21 Apr 2017

The nuclear symmetry energy is defined by the second derivative of the energy per nucleon with respect to the proton-neutron asymmetry, and is sometimes approximated by the energy difference between the neutron matter and the symmetric matter. Accuracy of this approximation is assessed analytically and numerically, within the Hartree-Fock theory using effective interactions. By decomposing the nuclear-matter energy, the relative error of each term is expressed analytically; it is constant or is a single-variable function determined by the function type. The full errors are evaluated for several effective interactions, by inserting values of the parameters. Although the errors stay within 10% up to twice of the normal density irrespective of the interactions, at higher densities accuracy of the approximation significantly depends on the interactions.

Subject Index xxxx, xxx

1. Introduction

The nuclear symmetry energy, which is defined as the second derivative of the energy per nucleon with respect to the proton-neutron asymmetry, is an important quantity for prediction of masses of neutron-rich nuclei and structure of neutron stars. Calculation of the symmetry energy, however, is not always easy. Analytical approaches are impossible unless energy of the system is given by a twice-differentiable function with respect to the asymmetry, while a numerical evaluation requires precise calculation of energy of the system. For this reason, the symmetry energy is sometimes approximated as energy difference between the pure neutron matter and symmetric matter. Conversely, this approximation of the symmetry energy corresponds to the quadratic approximation of the neutron-matter energy with respect to the asymmetry, in its estimation from the symmetric-matter energy.

As Bethe originally pointed out [1], this quadratic approximation of energy is applicable to small asymmetry but its validity is not obvious for systems with large asymmetry like the neutron matter. Accuracy of this approximation has been studied in several works. Chen *et al.* investigated this approximation at the saturation density with a modified Gogny interaction [2]. This approximation was examined in the Brueckner-Hartree-Fock theory with the Paris potential by Bombaci and Lombardo [3], and with the AV18 plus three-nucleon force by Zuo *et al.* [4]. Drischler *et al.* also studied it in the chiral effective field theory [5].

© The Author(s) 2012. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Physical Society of Japan.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

It should be mentioned that Wellenhofer *et. al.* pointed out that the approximation is not valid at certain temperatures [6].

We reinvestigate accuracy of the approximation of the symmetry energy at zero temperature within the Hartree-Fock theory, by using effective interactions which have been tested for structure of finite nuclei. This enables analytical arguments, by which origin of the errors can be examined term by term. Then the errors can be numerically estimated by inserting values of the parameters. In practice we adopt six effective interactions; the Skyrme interactions SkM* [7] and SLy4 [8], the Gogny interactions D1S [9] and D1M [10], and the M3Y-type interactions M3Y-P6 and M3Y-P7 [11].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we decompose the nuclear-matter energy, the symmetry energy, and its error. From Sect. 3 to 5, we evaluate the relative errors of individual terms. Section 3 is devoted to the errors whose analytical forms are independent of the effective Hamiltonian. The errors specific to the Skyrme and finite-range (Gogny and M3Y-type) effective interactions are analytically investigated in Sect. 4 and 5, respectively. The numerical results of errors for symmetry energy are displayed and discussed in Sect. 6. Section 7 provides a summary of the paper.

2. Decomposition of energies and errors

In this section, we shall give expressions of the symmetry energy $a_t(\rho)$ and its approximation $\tilde{a}_t(\rho)$, by decomposing them into several terms. Correspondingly, the errors of the symmetry energy can be decomposed as well.

2.1. Effective Hamiltonian

In this paper, we handle the homogeneous nuclear matter with the spin degeneracy in the Hartree-Fock theory.

Because of the homogeneity, the single-particle wave function is written as a plane wave,

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}\sigma\tau}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \chi_\sigma \chi_\tau \,, \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{k}$ denotes the momentum and Ω is the volume of the system, for which we shall take $\Omega \to \infty$ later. χ_σ (χ_τ) is the spin (isospin) wave function.

The effective Hamiltonian of the system consists of the kinetic energy and the effective interaction,

$$H = K + V\,; \quad K = \sum_i \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_i^2}{2M}\,, \quad V = \sum_{i<j} v_{ij}\,, \tag{2}$$

where i and j are indices of nucleons. The effective interaction V is comprised of the central term, the LS term and the tensor (TN) term. In the homogeneous matter, the LS term and the TN term can be neglected. We therefore treat only the central term, which may contain usual two-body interaction and density-dependent interaction. For the latter we assume contact form. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{12} &= v_{12}^{(\mathrm{C})} + v_{12}^{(\mathrm{DD})}\,; \\ v_{12}^{(\mathrm{C})} &= \sum_n \left(t_n^{(\mathrm{SE})} P_{\mathrm{SE}} + t_n^{(\mathrm{TE})} P_{\mathrm{TE}} + t_n^{(\mathrm{SO})} P_{\mathrm{SO}} + t_n^{(\mathrm{TO})} P_{\mathrm{TO}}\right) f_n(r_{12})\,, \\ v_{12}^{(\mathrm{DD})} &= t_\rho^{(\mathrm{SE})} P_{\mathrm{SE}} \left[\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\right]^\alpha \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12}) + t_\rho^{(\mathrm{TE})} P_{\mathrm{TE}} \left[\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\right]^\beta \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $f_n(r_{12})$ is an appropriate function of $r_{12} = |\boldsymbol{r}_{12}|$ with $\boldsymbol{r}_{12} = \boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2$. In this paper, we treat the Skyrme, the Gogny, and the M3Y-type interactions. Correspondingly, $f_n(r_{12})$ is the δ-function (with and without momentum-dependence), the Gauss function, or the Yukawa function. The effective interactions have range parameters, and the index n distinguishes the range. All kinds of t in the above equation are strength parameters. $P_{\rm TE}$, $P_{\rm TO}$, $P_{\rm SE}$, and $P_{\rm SO}$ are projection operators to Singlet-Even, Triplet-Even, Singlet-Odd, and Triplet-Odd two-particle states, and they are related to the spin exchange operator P_σ and the isospin exchange operator P_τ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\rm SE} &= \frac{1-P_\sigma}{2}\frac{1+P_\tau}{2}\,, \quad P_{\rm TE} = \frac{1+P_\sigma}{2}\frac{1-P_\tau}{2}\,, \\ P_{\rm SO} &= \frac{1-P_\sigma}{2}\frac{1-P_\tau}{2}\,, \quad P_{\rm TO} = \frac{1+P_\sigma}{2}\frac{1+P_\tau}{2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We can rewrite $v_{12}^{\rm (C)}$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{12}^{\rm (C)} &= \sum_n (t_n^{\rm (W)} + t_n^{\rm (B)} P_\sigma - t_n^{\rm (H)} P_\tau - t_n^{\rm (M)} P_\sigma P_\tau) f_n(r_{12})\,; \\ t_n^{\rm (W)} &= \big(t_n^{\rm (SE)} + t_n^{\rm (TE)} + t_n^{\rm (SO)} + t_n^{\rm (TO)}\big)/4\,, \\ t_n^{\rm (B)} &= \big(-t_n^{\rm (SE)} + t_n^{\rm (TE)} - t_n^{\rm (SO)} + t_n^{\rm (TO)}\big)/4\,, \\ t_n^{\rm (H)} &= \big(-t_n^{\rm (SE)} + t_n^{\rm (TE)} + t_n^{\rm (SO)} - t_n^{\rm (TO)}\big)/4\,, \\ t_n^{\rm (M)} &= \big(t_n^{\rm (SE)} + t_n^{\rm (TE)} - t_n^{\rm (SO)} - t_n^{\rm (TO)}\big)/4\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

2.2. Decomposition of energy per nucleon

With the wave function of Eq. (1) and the effective Hamiltonian of Eqs. (2), (3), the energy per nucleon $\mathcal{E}$ can be expressed in the following manner, as was derived in Ref. [12]. We consider a function $\mathcal{W}$ as

$$\mathcal{W}(k_\tau, k_{\tau'}) = \int_{k_1 \le k_\tau} d^3k_1 \int_{k_2 \le k_{\tau'}} d^3k_2\, \tilde{f}_n(|\boldsymbol{k}_{12} - \boldsymbol{k}'_{12}|), \tag{6}$$

where $\tilde{f}(q)$ signifies the Fourier transform of $f(r)$; $\tilde{f}(q) = \int d^3r\, f(r) e^{-i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}$. $\boldsymbol{k}_{12}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}'_{12}$ represent relative momenta of the initial and the final states. Since $\boldsymbol{k}_{12} = \boldsymbol{k}'_{12}$ in the Hartree (*i.e.*, direct) term and $\boldsymbol{k}_{12} = -\boldsymbol{k}'_{12}$ in the Fock (*i.e.*, exchange) term, contributions of these terms to the energy is represented by the function $\mathcal{W}$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_\tau, k_{\tau'}) &= \int_{k_1 \le k_\tau} d^3k_1 \int_{k_2 \le k_{\tau'}} d^3k_2\, \tilde{f}_n(0) = \frac{16\pi^2}{9} k_\tau^3 k_{\tau'}^3 \tilde{f}_n(0) \quad \text{(Hartree term)}\,, \\ \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_\tau, k_{\tau'}) &= \int_{k_1 \le k_\tau} d^3k_1 \int_{k_2 \le k_{\tau'}} d^3k_2\, \tilde{f}_n(2k_{12}) \\ &= 8\pi^2 \bigg[\int_0^{|k_{\tau'} - k_\tau|/2} dk_{12}\, \frac{16}{3} k_\tau^3 k_{12}^2 \tilde{f}(2k_{12}) + \int_{|k_{\tau'} - k_\tau|/2}^{(k_{\tau'} + k_\tau)/2} dk_{12} \Big\{ -\frac{1}{2}(k_{\tau'}^2 - k_\tau^2)^2 k_{12} \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{3}(k_\tau^3 + k_{\tau'}^3) k_{12}^2 - 4(k_\tau^2 + k_{\tau'}^2) k_{12}^3 + \frac{8}{3} k_{12}^5 \Big\} \tilde{f}_n(2k_{12}) \bigg] \quad \text{(Fock term)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We can express the total energy by using these functions. Under the spin degeneracy, the total energy is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} E = & \frac{\Omega}{10\pi^2 M}(k_{\rm p}^5 + k_{\rm n}^5) \\ & + \frac{\rho^\alpha \Omega}{36\pi^4}\left[t_\rho^{(\rm SE)}\rho^\alpha(k_{\rm p}^6 + k_{\rm n}^6) + (t_\rho^{(\rm SE)}\rho^\alpha + 3t_\rho^{(\rm TE)}\rho^\beta)k_{\rm p}^3 k_{\rm n}^3\right] \\ & + \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^6}\sum_n \Big[(2t_n^{(\rm W)} + t_n^{(\rm B)} - 2t_n^{(\rm H)} - t_n^{(\rm M)})\big\{\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p}) + \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})\big\} \\ & \qquad + 2(2t_n^{(\rm W)} + t_n^{(\rm B)})\,\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n}) \\ & \qquad + (2t_n^{(\rm M)} + t_n^{(\rm H)} - 2t_n^{(\rm B)} - t_n^{(\rm W)})\big\{\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p}) + \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})\big\} \\ & \qquad + 2(2t_n^{(\rm M)} + t_n^{(\rm H)})\,\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $k_{\rm p}$ ($k_{\rm n}$) denotes the Fermi momentum of protons (neutrons), and they are connected with the density $\rho_{\rm p}$ ($\rho_{\rm n}$) or with the total density ρ and the asymmetry η_t as

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\rm p} = (3\pi^2\rho_{\rm p})^{1/3} = \Big\{\frac{3\pi^2}{2}\rho(1-\eta_t)\Big\}^{1/3}\,, \quad k_{\rm n} = (3\pi^2\rho_{\rm n})^{1/3} = \Big\{\frac{3\pi^2}{2}\rho(1+\eta_t)\Big\}^{1/3}\,; \\ \rho = \rho_{\rm p} + \rho_{\rm n}\,, \quad \eta_t = \frac{\rho_{\rm n} - \rho_{\rm p}}{\rho}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The energy per nucleon $\mathcal{E} = E/A$ is acquired by dividing E by the nucleon number $A = \rho\Omega$. We here decompose $\mathcal{E}$ into the kinetic term ($\mathcal{E}_{\rm K}$), the density-dependent term ($\mathcal{E}_{\rm DD}$), the Hartree term between like nucleons ($\mathcal{E}_{\rm HO}$) and between unlike nucleons ($\mathcal{E}_{\rm HX}$), the Fock term between like nucleons ($\mathcal{E}_{\rm FO}$) and between unlike nucleons ($\mathcal{E}_{\rm FX}$),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\rho,\eta_t) &= \mathcal{E}_{\rm K} + \mathcal{E}_{\rm DD} + \sum_n\big(\mathcal{E}_{{\rm HO}n} + \mathcal{E}_{{\rm HX}n} + \mathcal{E}_{{\rm FO}n} + \mathcal{E}_{{\rm FX}n}\big)\,; \\ \mathcal{E}_{\rm K} &= \frac{1}{10\pi^2 M\rho}(k_{\rm p}^5 + k_{\rm n}^5)\,, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\rm DD} &= \frac{1}{36\pi^4}\left[t_\rho^{(\rm SE)}\rho^{\alpha-1}(k_{\rm p}^6 + k_{\rm n}^6 + k_{\rm p}^3 k_{\rm n}^3) + 3t_\rho^{(\rm TE)}\rho^{\beta-1}k_{\rm p}^3 k_{\rm n}^3\right], \\ \mathcal{E}_{{\rm HO}n} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}\cdot\big(2t_n^{(\rm W)} + t_n^{(\rm B)} - 2t_n^{(\rm H)} - t_n^{(\rm M)}\big)\big\{\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p}) + \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})\big\}\,, \\ \mathcal{E}_{{\rm HX}n} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}\cdot 2(2t_n^{(\rm W)} + t_n^{(\rm B)})\,\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})\,, \\ \mathcal{E}_{{\rm FO}n} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}\cdot\big(2t_n^{(\rm M)} + t_n^{(\rm H)} - 2t_n^{(\rm B)} - t_n^{(\rm W)}\big)\big\{\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p}) + \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})\big\}\,, \\ \mathcal{E}_{{\rm FX}n} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}\cdot 2(2t_n^{(\rm M)} + t_n^{(\rm H)})\,\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

2.3. Decomposition of symmetry energy

The symmetry energy $a_t(\rho)$ is defined by the second-order derivative of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the asymmetry η_t,

$$a_t(\rho) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{E}}{\partial\eta_t^2}\bigg|_{\eta_t=0}\,. \tag{11}$$

Let us denote the ν-th order partial derivative of a function $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to η_t by $\mathcal{F}^{(\nu)} = (\partial^\nu/\partial\eta_t^\nu)\mathcal{F}$. Corresponding to the decomposition of $\mathcal{E}$, we also decompose the symmetry

energy as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_t(\rho) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^{(2)}\Big|_{\eta_t=0} = a_{\rm K} + a_{\rm DD} + \sum_n \left(a_{{\rm HO}n} + a_{{\rm HX}n} + a_{{\rm FO}n} + a_{{\rm FX}n}\right); \\
a_i &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}_i^{(2)}\Big|_{\eta_t=0} \qquad (i = {\rm K}, {\rm DD}, {\rm HO}n, {\rm HX}n, {\rm FO}n, {\rm FX}n).
\end{aligned}
\tag{12}
$$

Formulas for calculating each a_i are given in Appendix B. Note that $\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p}, k_{\rm p})^{(\nu)}\big|_{k_{\rm p}=k_{\rm F}} \neq \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p}, k_{\rm n})^{(\nu)}\big|_{k_{\rm p}=k_{\rm n}=k_{\rm F}}$.

2.4. Approximation of symmetry energy and its error

The symmetry energy $a_t(\rho)$ is often approximated by the difference of $\mathcal{E}$ between $\eta_t = 1$ and $\eta_t = 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a}_t(\rho) &:= \mathcal{E}(\rho, \eta_t = 1) - \mathcal{E}(\rho, \eta_t = 0) \\
&= a_t(\rho) + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}(\rho, \eta_t)^{(2\nu)}}{(2\nu)!}\Big|_{\eta_t=0}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{13}
$$

This coincides with the quadratic approximation of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to η_t,

$$
\mathcal{E}(\rho, \eta_t) \approx \mathcal{E}(\rho, \eta_t = 0) + a_t(\rho)\,\eta_t^2\,. \tag{14}
$$

Notice that $\mathcal{E}$ is an even function of η_t under the charge symmetry.

We are now ready to consider accuracy of the approximation of Eq. (13). As measures of the approximation, we will estimate the absolute error $\delta_t = \tilde{a}_t - a_t$ and the relative error $\Delta_t = (\tilde{a}_t - a_t)/a_t$. In correspondence to each term of Eq. (12) ($a_i(\rho)$; $i = {\rm K}, {\rm DD}, {\rm HO}n, {\rm HX}n, {\rm FO}n, {\rm FX}n$), we define its approximated value $\tilde{a}_i(\rho)$ by

$$
\tilde{a}_i(\rho) := \mathcal{E}_i(\rho, \eta_t = 1) - \mathcal{E}_i(\rho, \eta_t = 0)\,. \tag{15}
$$

Then the relative error of each term $\Delta_i = (\tilde{a}_i - a_i)/a_i$ can be estimated analytically, as shown below. The full errors δ_t and Δ_t are expressed by using Δ_i,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_t &= \tilde{a}_t - a_t = \sum_i \Delta_i\, a_i\,, \\
\Delta_t &= \frac{\tilde{a}_t - a_t}{a_t} = \sum_i \Delta_i \frac{a_i}{a_t}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

Thus, through Δ_i, the error of the symmetry energy can be examined term by term. We shall estimate Δ_i in the following three sections.

3. Terms with constant relative errors

The relative errors (Δ_i) for the kinetic term, the density-dependent term, and the Hartree term of central force are constant, or even vanish, independent of the density. In this section we discuss Δ_i for these terms.

3.1. Kinetic term

We first discuss the kinetic energy term in the exact and the approximated symmetry energy, $a_{\rm K}$ and $\tilde{a}_{\rm K}$. The 2ν-th order coefficient of kinetic energy per nucleon ($1 \le \nu$) can be derived

inductively,

$$\left.\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\rm K}^{(2\nu)}(\rho,\eta_t)}{(2\nu)!}\right|_{\eta_t=0} = \frac{k_{\rm F}^5}{5\pi^2 M\rho}\cdot\frac{1}{(2\nu)!}\prod_{i=0}^{2\nu-1}\frac{5-3i}{3}\,;\quad k_{\rm F}=\Big(\frac{3\pi^2\rho}{2}\Big)^{1/3}. \tag{17}$$

The relative error of kinetic term in the symmetry energy turns out to be constant, independent of ρ,

$$\Delta_{\rm K}=\frac{\tilde{a}_{\rm K}-a_{\rm K}}{a_{\rm K}}=\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\left.\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\rm K}^{(2\nu)}/(2\nu)!}{\mathcal{E}_{\rm K}^{(2)}/2}\right|_{\eta_t=0}=\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{\nu-1}\frac{(6i-5)(6i-2)}{(6i+3)(6i+6)}\right]=0.057\,. \tag{18}$$

In Eq. (6) of Ref.[6], the result equivalent to Eq. (18) is presented, $(\tilde{a}_{\rm K}-a_{\rm K})/\tilde{a}_{\rm K}=\Delta_{\rm K}/(1+\Delta_{\rm K})=0.054$.

3.2. Density-dependent term

For the density-dependent contact term, we have

$$\tilde{a}_{\rm DD}=a_{\rm DD}\,,\quad \Delta_{\rm DD}=0\,. \tag{19}$$

This is because $\mathcal{E}_{\rm DD}$ can be written up to the quadratic term with respect to η_t,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm DD}(\rho,\eta_t)=\frac{1}{8}\big[\,t_\rho^{\rm (SE)}\rho^{\alpha+1}(3+\eta_t^2)+3t_\rho^{\rm (TE)}\rho^{\beta+1}(1-\eta_t^2)\,\big]\,. \tag{20}$$

3.3. Hartree-term

The Hartree term of the central force in the energy per nucleon also depends quadratically on η_t. In practice, Eq. (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p})&=4\pi^6\rho^2(1-\eta_t)^2\,\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\\ \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})&=4\pi^6\rho^2(1+\eta_t)^2\,\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\\ \mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})&=4\pi^6\rho^2(1-\eta_t^2)\,\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

leading to $\Delta_{{\rm HO}n}=\Delta_{{\rm HX}n}=0$.

4. Skyrme interaction

We next discuss the terms depending on the function types of the interaction, through which full expression of errors of the symmetry energy is obtained.

The Skyrme interaction has momentum-dependent terms, additional to Eq. (3), while some of the terms in Eq. (5) become equivalent to exchange terms of others. The interaction is expressed, instead of Eq. (3),

$$\begin{aligned}v_{12}=\;&t_0(1+x_0P_\sigma)\,\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}t_1(1+x_1P_\sigma)\left[\boldsymbol{p}_{12}'^2\,\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})+\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\,\boldsymbol{p}_{12}^2\right]+t_2(1+x_2P_\sigma)\boldsymbol{p}_{12}'\cdot\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\,\boldsymbol{p}_{12}\\ &+t_\rho^{\rm (SE)}P_{\rm SE},[\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_1)]^\alpha\,\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})+t_\rho^{\rm (TE)}P_{\rm TE}\,[\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_1)]^\beta\,\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\,,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Here $\boldsymbol{p}_{12}=(\nabla_1-\nabla_2)/(2i)$, and $\boldsymbol{p}_{12}'$ is the hermitian conjugate of $\boldsymbol{p}_{12}$ acting on the left. For the t_0 term that has $f(r_{12})=\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})$, we have

$$\mathcal{W}_0^{\rm H}(k_\tau,k_{\tau'})=\mathcal{W}_0^{\rm F}(k_\tau,k_{\tau'})=\frac{16\pi^2}{9}k_\tau^3k_{\tau'}^3\,. \tag{23}$$

For the t_1 and t_2 terms, $\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{p}'^2_{12}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12}) + \delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\,\boldsymbol{p}^2_{12})$ and $\boldsymbol{p}'_{12}\cdot\delta(\boldsymbol{r}_{12})\,\boldsymbol{p}_{12}$ yield [12]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_1^{\rm H}(k_\tau,k_{\tau'}) &= \mathcal{W}_1^{\rm F}(k_\tau,k_{\tau'}) = \frac{4\pi^2}{15}k_\tau^3 k_{\tau'}^3(k_\tau^2+k_{\tau'}^2) \quad (t_1\text{ term})\,,\\ \mathcal{W}_2^{\rm H}(k_\tau,k_{\tau'}) &= -\mathcal{W}_2^{\rm F}(k_\tau,k_{\tau'}) = \frac{4\pi^2}{15}k_\tau^3 k_{\tau'}^3(k_\tau^2+k_{\tau'}^2) \quad (t_2\text{ term})\,,\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

respectively.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{\rm c}^{\rm o} &= \frac{16\pi^2}{9}(k_{\rm p}^6+k_{\rm n}^6)\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\rm c}^{\rm x} = \frac{16\pi^2}{9}k_{\rm p}^3k_{\rm n}^3\,,\\ \mathcal{W}_{\rm p}^{\rm o} &= \frac{8\pi^2}{15}(k_{\rm p}^8+k_{\rm n}^8)\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\rm p}^{\rm x} = \frac{8\pi^2}{15}k_{\rm p}^3k_{\rm n}^3(k_{\rm p}^2+k_{\rm n}^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where the superscript o (x) indicates like- (unlike-) nucleon contribution and the subscript c (p) corresponds to the t_0 (t_1 or t_2) term. Then the energy per nucleon $\mathcal{E}$ is decomposed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} &= \mathcal{E}_{\rm K}+\mathcal{E}_{\rm c}+\mathcal{E}_{\rm pO}+\mathcal{E}_{\rm pX}+\mathcal{E}_{\rm DD}\,;\\ \mathcal{E}_{\rm c} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}\big(t_{\rm c}^{\rm o}\,\mathcal{W}_{\rm c}^{\rm o}+t_{\rm c}^{\rm x}\,\mathcal{W}_{\rm c}^{\rm x}\big)\,,\\ \mathcal{E}_{\rm pO} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}\,t_{\rm p}^{\rm o}\,\mathcal{W}_{\rm p}^{\rm o}\,, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\rm pX} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6\rho}t_{\rm p}^{\rm x}\,\mathcal{W}_{\rm p}^{\rm x}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}t_{\rm c}^{\rm o} &= t_0(1-x_0)\,, \quad t_{\rm c}^{\rm x} = t_0(2+x_0)\,,\\ t_{\rm p}^{\rm o} &= t_1(1-x_1)+3t_2(1+x_2)\,, \quad t_{\rm p}^{\rm x} = t_1(2+x_1)+t_2(2+x_2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Corresponding to the above decomposition, the symmetry energy and its error are decomposed into a_i and Δ_i ($i={\rm K,c,pO,pX,DD}$). δ_t and Δ_t are expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_t &= \tilde{a}_t - a_t = \Delta_{\rm K}a_{\rm K}+\Delta_{\rm pO}a_{\rm pO}+\Delta_{\rm pX}a_{\rm pX}\,,\\ \Delta_t &= \frac{\tilde{a}_t-a_t}{a_t} = \Delta_{\rm K}\frac{a_{\rm K}}{a_t}+\Delta_{\rm pO}\frac{a_{\rm pO}}{a_t}+\Delta_{\rm pX}\frac{a_{\rm pX}}{a_t}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We have shown, in the previous section, $\Delta_{\rm K}=0.057$ and $\Delta_{\rm DD}=0$. Moreover, we obviously have $\Delta_{\rm c}=0$. For the momentum-dependent terms, we consider the ratios $\tilde{a}_{\rm pO}/a_{\rm pO}=1+\Delta_{\rm pO}$ and $\tilde{a}_{\rm pX}/a_{\rm pX}=1+\Delta_{\rm pX}$, where $a_{\rm pO}=\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{\rm pO}\big|_{\eta_t=0}$ and $a_{\rm pX}=\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{\rm pX}\big|_{\eta_t=0}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{\rm p}^{{\rm o}(2\nu)}(\rho,\eta_t)\Big|_{\eta_t=0} &= \frac{16\pi^2}{15}k_{\rm F}^8\prod_{i=1}^{2\nu}\frac{11-3i}{3}\,,\\ \mathcal{W}_{\rm p}^{{\rm x}(2\nu)}(\rho,\eta_t)\Big|_{\eta_t=0} &= \frac{16\pi^2}{15}k_{\rm F}^8(3\nu-2)\prod_{i=1}^{2\nu}\frac{11-3i}{3}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

the ratios are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\tilde{a}_{\rm pO}}{a_{\rm pO}} &= \left.\frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{E}^{(2\nu)}_{\rm pO}/(2\nu)!}{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{\rm pO}/2}\right|_{\eta_t=0} = \frac{9}{20}\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{3^{2\nu}(2\nu)!}\prod_{i=1}^{2\nu}(11-3i)\right] = 0.979\,,\\ \frac{\tilde{a}_{\rm pX}}{a_{\rm pX}} &= \left.\frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{E}^{(2\nu)}_{\rm pX}/(2\nu)!}{\mathcal{E}^{(2)}_{\rm pX}/2}\right|_{\eta_t=0} = \frac{9}{20}\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{3\nu-2}{3^{2\nu}(2\nu)!}\prod_{i=1}^{2\nu}(11-3i)\right] = 0.900\,.\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Therefore δ_t and Δ_t for the Skyrme interaction is calculated by the following formulas,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_t &= \tilde{a}_t - a_t = 0.057 a_{\rm K} - 0.021 a_{\rm pO} - 0.100 a_{\rm pX}\,, \\ \Delta_t &= \frac{\tilde{a}_t - a_t}{a_t} = 0.057\frac{a_{\rm K}}{a_t} - 0.021\frac{a_{\rm pO}}{a_t} - 0.100\frac{a_{\rm pX}}{a_t}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

5. Finite-range interactions

In this section, errors of each term in the symmetry energy are analytically investigated for finite-range effective interactions. The Gauss function $f_n(r_{12}) = e^{-(\mu_n r_{12})^2}$ and the Yukawa function $f_n(r_{12}) = e^{-\mu_n r_{12}}/\mu_n r_{12}$ are handled in practice, which are used in the central channels of the Gogny and M3Y-type interactions.

As we showed in Sect. 3, the density-dependent term and the Hartree term give no errors. Then the errors of the approximated symmetry energy can be expressed as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_t &= \tilde{a}_t - a_t = \Delta_{\rm K} a_{\rm K} + \sum_n \Delta_{{\rm FO}n} a_{{\rm FO}n} + \sum_n \Delta_{{\rm FX}n} a_{{\rm FX}n}\,, \\ \Delta_t &= \frac{\tilde{a}_t - a_t}{a_t} = \Delta_{\rm K}\frac{a_{\rm K}}{a_t} + \sum_n \Delta_{{\rm FO}n}\frac{a_{{\rm FO}n}}{a_t} + \sum_n \Delta_{{\rm FX}n}\frac{a_{{\rm FX}n}}{a_t}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

It is recalled that $\Delta_{\rm K}$ is constant. Unlike the Skyrme interaction, the relative errors of Fock terms in the symmetry energy depend on the density. However, for a given function form, each of $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ depends only on $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$, where $1/\mu_n$ is the range parameter. Therefore, we calculate the relative errors $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ as functions of $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$. We depict the results in Fig. 1 for the Gauss and the Yukawa functions, whose analytic expressions are given in Appendix C. It is emphasized that these results are determined only by the function type of the effective interaction, independent of parameters. As $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$ increases, $|\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}|$ and $|\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}|$ tend to deviate from zero. The longest range of the nucleon-nucleon interaction should be given by the Compton wavelength of the pion (1.414 fm). Corresponding to the range $0 \le 1/\mu_n \le 1.414\,{\rm fm}$ and the density $0 \le \rho \le 0.64\,{\rm fm}^{-3}$ (*i.e.*, $0 \le k_{\rm F} \le 2.11\,{\rm fm}^{-1}$), whose upper bound is about four times of the normal density, $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ are displayed for $0 \le k_{\rm F}/\mu_n \le 3$.

The dashed vertical line in Fig. 1(a) means $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$ for $\rho = 0.32\,{\rm fm}^{-3}$ and $1/\mu_n = 1.2\,{\rm fm}$, which is the longer range in D1S. We find that $|\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}|$ may reach about 0.25 at $\rho = 0.32\,{\rm fm}^{-3}$, while $|\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}|$ is kept within 0.05 in the full range in Fig. 1(a).

As in Fig. 1(a), the dashed line in Fig. 1(b) means $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$ for $\rho = 0.32{\rm fm}^{-3}$ and the longest range of the M3Y-type interaction $1.414{\rm fm}^{-1}$. In contrast to the Gauss function, $|\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}|$ and $|\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}|$ stay within 0.1 in $0 \le \rho \le 0.32{\rm fm}^{-3}$ for the Yukawa interaction. Even in the higher density region up to $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n = 3$, they are 0.13 at largest.

6. Numerical results for several interactions

In Fig. 2, we show the numerical results of Δ_t and δ_t as a function of ρ, which are calculated by inputting the values of the parameters given in Appendix A. Figure 2(a) reveals interaction-dependence of Δ_t. In $0 < \rho \le 0.32\,{\rm fm}^{-3}$, we find that the relative error is $|\Delta_t| < 0.1$ for all of the effective interactions. To be more precise, it is within $|\Delta_t| < 0.05$ except SkM*. In the higher density region of $0.32 \le \rho < 0.64\,{\rm fm}^{-3}$, $|\Delta_t| < 0.05$ holds for SLy4 and the M3Y-type interactions. In contrast, $|\Delta_t|$ exceeds 0.20 for SkM* and the Gogny interactions. This



(a) Gauss function

(b) Yukawa function

Fig. 1: k_F/μ_n dependence of Δ_{FOn} and Δ_{FXn}, for (a) the Gauss interaction and (b) the Yukawa interaction. The dashed lines are explained in the text.



(a) Relative error Δ_t

(b) Absolute error δ_t

Fig. 2: Errors for the symmetry energy (a) Δ_t and (b) δ_t for several effective interactions.

tendency is also seen for δ_t in Fig. 2(b). $|\delta_t|$ of M3Y-P6, M3Y-P7 and SLy4 are significantly smaller than that of D1M, D1S and SkM*.

Interestingly, although SkM* and SLy4 have the same function type, δ_t behaves quite differently between them. Origin of this difference may be accounted for on the basis of the decomposition in Sect. 4, especially by Eq. (31). In Fig. 3, $a_K(\rho)$, $a_{pO}(\rho)$ and $a_{pX}(\rho)$ for SkM* and SLy4 are presented. As recognized from Eq. (31) and Fig. 3, $\Delta_{pX}a_{pX} = -0.1a_{pX}$ contributes to δ_t positively. The contribution $(-a_{pX})$ is larger for SkM* than for SLy4. Moreover, $\Delta_{pO}a_{pO} = -0.021a_{pO}$ contributes negatively and it tends to cancel the positive contribution of a_{pX} for SLy4, while a_{pO} almost vanishes for SkM*.



(a) SkM* (b) SLy4

Fig. 3: Contribution of each term in the symmetry energy for (a) SkM* and (b) SLy4.

Figure 1 accounts for the difference of δ_t between the Gogny and M3Y-type interactions. The large $|\Delta_{\mathrm{FX}n}|$ for the Gauss function at high k_F/μ_n makes $|\delta_t|$ large. Note that Δ_t in D1S diverges at $\rho = 0.55\,\mathrm{fm}^{-3}$ as shown in Fig. 2(a), because a_t reaches 0.

7. Summary

We have investigated accuracy of approximation of the symmetry energy in the nuclear matter within the Hartree-Fock theory. As measures of the accuracy of $\tilde{a}_t(\rho)$ (difference of the neutron-matter energy from the symmetric-matter energy) relative to $a_t(\rho)$ (second derivative of the energy with respect to the asymmetry η_t), we have estimated the absolute error δ_t and the relative error Δ_t. The errors are decomposed into several terms, in association with the decomposition of the nuclear-matter energy in Eq. (10). With this decomposition, δ_t can be expressed in terms of a_i and Δ_i, where i represents individual components. We derive analytical expressions for each of Δ_i, which makes origin of δ_t and Δ_t transparent. On this basis, δ_t and Δ_t are estimated for the six effective interactions by inserting values of the parameters. We find $-2\,\mathrm{MeV} \lesssim \delta_t \lesssim 4\,\mathrm{MeV}$, which means $|\Delta_t| < 0.1$, up to twice of the normal density for all of the effective interactions. At higher densities, they depend much on the effective interactions. The errors is kept small for the two M3Y-type interactions and SLy4, while the accuracy is worse for the two Gogny interactions and SkM*. We have explained this tendency from Δ_i, *i.e.*, the relative errors of individual terms in the symmetry energy.

It is recalled that SLy4, D1M, M3Y-P6 and M3Y-P7 are the parameter-sets which are fitted to the microscopic calculation of the equation-of-state of the neutron matter. With an exception of D1M, this constraint might help keeping the parameter-sets to have small δ_t and Δ_t even at high density. For the Skyrme interactions, all of Δ_i are constant, and δ_t can be written by only three terms, the kinetic term a_K, the momentum-dependent term between like nucleons a_pO, and that between unlike nucleons a_pX. Then, we have found that the parameters of the momentum-dependent terms t_p^o and t_p^x make the different behavior of δ_t between SkM* and SLy4. For the finite-range interactions, δ_t can be expressed by

the kinetic term $a_{\rm K}$, the Fock terms between like nucleons $a_{{\rm FO}n}$ and that between unlike nucleons $a_{{\rm FX}n}$. For given function types, the coefficients of the Fock terms $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ are single-variable functions of $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$, which are independent of the parameters. As shown in Fig. 1, $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ produce significant difference of δ_t and Δ_t between the Gauss and the Yukawa functions at high density. This suggests that the function type plays a certain role in the accuracy of approximation.

Acknowledgment

We thank K. Iida for helpful discussions. This work is financially supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24105008 and 16K05342.

A. Parameters of interactions

The parameters of the effective interactions used in this paper, SkM* [7], SLy4 [8], D1S [9], D1M [10], M3Y-P6 and M3Y-P7 [11], are tabulated in Tables A1, A2 and A3.

Table A1: Parameters of SLy4 & SkM*.

parameters		SLy4	SkM*
t_0	(MeV fm^3)	-2488.91	-2645.0
t_1	(MeV fm^5)	483.13	410.0
t_2	(MeV fm^5)	-549.40	-135.0
x_0		0.778	0.090
x_1		0.328	0.000
x_2		-1.000	0.000
$t_{\rm c}^{\rm o}$	(MeV fm^3)	-2406.95	-382.291
$t_{\rm c}^{\rm x}$	(MeV fm^3)	-5528.05	-7064.94
$t_{\rm p}^{\rm o}$	(MeV fm^5)	324.663	5.000
$t_{\rm p}^{\rm x}$	(MeV fm^5)	575.327	550.00
$t_\rho^{\rm (SE)}$	(MeV fm$^{3(1+\alpha)}$)	-608.49	2599.17
$t_\rho^{\rm (TE)}$	(MeV fm$^{3(1+\beta)}$)	5166.12	2599.17
α		1/6	1/6
β		1/6	1/6

B. Formulas for symmetry energy

We give some formulas for a_i, which is defined in Eq. (12), in this Appendix.

The second-order derivatives with respect to the asymmetry of the kinetic-energy term and the density-dependent term are,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\rm K}^{(2)} &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}_{\rm K}}{\partial \eta_t^2} = \frac{\pi^2 \rho}{4M}(k_{\rm p}^{-1} + k_{\rm n}^{-1})\,, \\ \mathcal{E}_{\rm DD}^{(2)} &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}_{\rm DD}}{\partial \eta_t^2} = \frac{1}{8}\big(t_\rho^{\rm (SE)}\rho^{\alpha+1} - 3t_\rho^{\rm (TE)}\rho^{\beta+1}\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

Table A2: Parameter sets of D1S & D1M.

parameters		D1S	D1M
μ_1^{-1}	(fm)	0.7	0.5
μ_2^{-1}	(fm)	1.2	1.0
$t_1^{(\mathrm{W})}$	(MeV)	−1720.3	−12797.57
$t_1^{(\mathrm{B})}$	(MeV)	1300.0	14048.85
$t_1^{(\mathrm{H})}$	(MeV)	−1813.53	−15144.43
$t_1^{(\mathrm{M})}$	(MeV)	1397.6	11963.89
$t_2^{(\mathrm{W})}$	(MeV)	103.64	490.95
$t_2^{(\mathrm{B})}$	(MeV)	−163.48	−752.27
$t_2^{(\mathrm{H})}$	(MeV)	162.81	675.12
$t_2^{(\mathrm{M})}$	(MeV)	−223.93	−693.57
$t_\rho^{(\mathrm{SE})}$	(MeV fm$^{3(1+\alpha)}$)	0	0
$t_\rho^{(\mathrm{TE})}$	(MeV fm$^{3(1+\beta)}$)	2781.2	3124.44
α		—	—
β		1/3	1/3

Table A3: Parameter sets of M3Y-P6 & M3Y-P7.

Parameters		M3Y-P6	M3Y-P7
μ_1^{-1}	(fm)	0.25	0.25
μ_2^{-1}	(fm)	0.40	0.40
μ_3^{-1}	(fm)	1.414	1.414
$t_1^{(\mathrm{SE})}$	(MeV)	10766	10655
$t_1^{(\mathrm{TE})}$	(MeV)	8474	9592
$t_1^{(\mathrm{SO})}$	(MeV)	−728	11510
$t_1^{(\mathrm{TO})}$	(MeV)	12453	13507
$t_2^{(\mathrm{SE})}$	(MeV)	−3520	−3556
$t_2^{(\mathrm{TE})}$	(MeV)	−4594	−4594
$t_2^{(\mathrm{SO})}$	(MeV)	1386	1283
$t_2^{(\mathrm{TO})}$	(MeV)	−1588	−1812
$t_3^{(\mathrm{SE})}$	(MeV)	−10.463	−10.463
$t_3^{(\mathrm{TE})}$	(MeV)	−10.463	−10.463
$t_3^{(\mathrm{SO})}$	(MeV)	31.389	31.389
$t_3^{(\mathrm{TO})}$	(MeV)	3.488	3.488
$t_\rho^{(\mathrm{SE})}$	(MeV fm$^{3(1+\alpha)}$)	384	830
$t_\rho^{(\mathrm{TE})}$	(MeV fm$^{3(1+\beta)}$)	1930	1478
α		1	1
β		1/3	1/3

The second-order derivatives of the $\mathcal{W}$ functions are represented as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p})^{(2)}+\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}=16\pi^6\rho^2\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\\
&\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}=-8\pi^6\rho^2\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\\
&\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p})^{(2)}+\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}=32\pi^6\rho^2\left[k_{\rm p}^{-4}\int_0^{k_{\rm p}}dk\,k^3\tilde{f}_n(2k)+k_{\rm n}^{-4}\int_0^{k_{\rm n}}dk\,k^3\tilde{f}_n(2k)\right],\\
&\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}=4\pi^6\rho^2\int_{(k_{\rm p}-k_{\rm n})/2}^{(k_{\rm p}+k_{\rm n})/2}dk\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left[-\{(k_{\rm p}^{-4}+k_{\rm n}^{-4})(k_{\rm p}^2+k_{\rm n}^2)+4k_{\rm p}^{-1}k_{\rm n}^{-1}\}k+4(k_{\rm p}^{-4}+k_{\rm n}^{-4})k^3\right]\tilde{f}_n(2k)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B2}
$$

Each term of Eq. (12) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{\rm K}&=\frac{\pi^2\rho}{4M}k_{\rm F}^{-1}\,,\\
a_{\rm DD}&=\frac{\rho}{16}(t_\rho^{\rm (SE)}\rho^{\alpha+1}-3t_\rho^{\rm (TE)}\rho^{\beta+1})\,,\\
a_{{\rm HO}n}&=\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^6}(2t_n^{\rm (W)}+t_n^{\rm (B)}-2t_n^{\rm (H)}-t_n^{\rm (M)})\left\{\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p})^{(2)}+\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}\right\}\Big|_{\eta_t=0}\\
&=\frac{\rho}{8}(2t_n^{\rm (W)}+t_n^{\rm (B)}-2t_n^{\rm (H)}-t_n^{\rm (M)})\,\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\\
a_{{\rm HX}n}&=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^6}(2t_n^{\rm (W)}+t_n^{\rm (B)})\,\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm H}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}\Big|_{\eta_t=0}\\
&=-\frac{\rho}{8}(2t_n^{\rm (W)}+t_n^{\rm (B)})\,\tilde{f}_n(0)\,,\\
a_{{\rm FO}n}&=\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^6}(2t_n^{\rm (M)}+t_n^{\rm (H)}-2t_n^{\rm (B)}-t_n^{\rm (W)})\left\{\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm p})^{(2)}+\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm n},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}\right\}\Big|_{\eta_t=0}\\
&=\frac{\rho}{2}(2t_n^{\rm (M)}+t_n^{\rm (H)}-2t_n^{\rm (B)}-t_n^{\rm (W)})k_{\rm F}^{-4}\int_0^{k_{\rm F}}dk\,k^3\,\tilde{f}_n(2k)\\
a_{{\rm FX}n}&=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^6}(2t_n^{\rm (M)}+t_n^{\rm (H)})\,\mathcal{W}_n^{\rm F}(k_{\rm p},k_{\rm n})^{(2)}\Big|_{\eta_t=0}\\
&=\frac{\rho}{2}(2t_n^{\rm (M)}+t_n^{\rm (H)})k_{\rm F}^{-4}\int_0^{k_{\rm F}}dk\left(-k_{\rm F}^2k+k^3\right)\tilde{f}_n(2k)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{B3}
$$

C. Explicit expressions of $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$

If the function form is specified, $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ can be expressed in more explicit manner. We here denote $k_{\rm F}/\mu_n$ by x. Then $\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}$ become functions only of x for a given function form.

For the Gauss function $f(r)=e^{-(\mu r)^2}$, whose Fourier transform is $\tilde{f}(2k)=(\sqrt{\pi}/\mu)^3\,e^{-(k/\mu)^2}$), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{{\rm FO}n}&=\frac{3}{x^2}\cdot\frac{1}{1-e^{-x^2}-x^2e^{-x^2}}\Big[-1+3(1-2^{-1/3})x^2+(2-x^2)\,e^{-x^2}\\
&\qquad-(1-2^{-1/3}x^2)\,e^{-2^{2/3}x^2}+\sqrt{\pi}x^3\{{\rm erf}(2^{1/3}x)-{\rm erf}(x)\}\Big]-1\,,\\
\Delta_{{\rm FX}n}&=\frac{3}{x^2}\cdot\frac{2-3x^2-2e^{-x^2}+x^2e^{-x^2}+\sqrt{\pi}x^3\,{\rm erf}(x)}{-1+x^2+e^{-x^2}}-1\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{C1}
$$

where ${\rm erf}(x)=(2/\sqrt{\pi})\int_0^x e^{-t^2}dt$.

For the Yukawa function $f(r) = e^{-\mu r}/\mu r$, whose Fourier transform is $\tilde{f}(2k) = 4\pi/\mu(\mu^2 + 4k^2)$, $\Delta_{\mathrm{FO}n}$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{FX}n}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\mathrm{FO}n} = \frac{3}{2x^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\left[4x^2 - \log(1+4x^2)\right]} \Big[& 2(1-2^{-1/3})x^2 + 12(2^{1/3}-1)x^4 \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\log\frac{1+4\cdot 2^{2/3}x^2}{(1+4x^2)^2} + 3\cdot 2^{2/3}x^2\log(1+4\cdot 2^{2/3}x^2) - 6x^2\log(1+4x^2) \\ & - 16x^3\{\arctan(2^{4/3}x) - \arctan(2x)\}\Big] - 1\,, \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{FX}n} = \frac{3}{4x^2} \cdot \frac{4x^2(1-6x^2) + 32x^3\arctan(2x) - (1+12x^2)\log(1+4x^2)}{4x^2 - (1+4x^2)\log(1+4x^2)} & - 1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C2}$$

References

[1] H. A. Bethe, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci., **21**, 1 (1971).
[2] L. Chen, B. Cai, C. M. Ko, B. A. Li, C. Shen, and J. Xu, Phys. Rev. C, **80**, 014322 (2009).
[3] I. Bombaci and U. Lombardo, Phys. Rev. C, **44**, 1892 (1991).
[4] W. Zuo, A. Lejeune, U. Lombardo and J.F. Mathiot, Eur. Phys. J. A **14**, 469 (2002).
[5] C. Drischler, V. Somà, and A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. C, **89**, 025806 (2014).
[6] C. Wellenhofer, J.W. Holt, and N. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. C, **93**, 055802 (2016).
[7] J. Bartel, H. Flocard, Nguyen Van Giai and P Quentin, Nucl. Phys. A **238**, 29 (1975).
[8] E. Chabanat et al., Nucl. Phys. A **635**, 231 (1998).
[9] J.F. Berger, M. Girod and D.Gogny, Comp. Phys. Comm. **63**, 365 (1991).
[10] S. Goriely, S. Hilaire, M. Girod, and S. Péru, Phys. Rev. Lett., **102**, 242501 (2009).
[11] H. Nakada, Phys. Rev. C, **87**, 014336 (2013).
[12] H. Nakada, Phys. Rev. C, **68**, 014316 (2003).